

Sent: Thur 4/14/2016 10:36:40 PM
Subject: FW: URGENT: ACTION: Daily Caller; Bonita Peak Mining District HRS; DEADLINE 6 EST

- Paula

From: McKean, Deborah
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2016 4:30 PM
To: Smith, Paula <Smith.Paula@epa.gov>; Hull, George <Hull.George@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: URGENT: ACTION: Daily Caller; Bonita Peak Mining District HRS; DEADLINE 6 EST

In sum:

1. Why was drinking water not assessed in the HRS, given the details provided?- Site assessment group

Deliberative Process/Ex. 5

2. How can the human food chain be so heavily threatened, but the drinking water, as well as the Animas River, is safe for humans?

Deliberative Process/Ex. 5

3. Has the EPA warned local residents and provided guidance on the threat to the human food chain?

Deliberative Process/Ex. 5

From: Miller, Johanna
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2016 4:04 PM
To: Smith, Paula <Smith.Paula@epa.gov>
Cc: Grantham, Nancy <Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov>; Hull, George <Hull.George@epa.gov>; Lemon, Mollie <Lemon.Mollie@epa.gov>; McKean, Deborah <mckean.deborah@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: URGENT: ACTION: Daily Caller; Bonita Peak Mining District HRS; DEADLINE 6 EST

Added sentence below in red to question number 1. Johanna

From: Smith, Paula
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2016 3:56 PM
To: Miller, Johanna <Miller.Johanna@epa.gov>
Cc: Grantham, Nancy <Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov>; Hull, George <Hull.George@epa.gov>; Lemon, Mollie <Lemon.Mollie@epa.gov>; McKean, Deborah <mckean.deborah@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: URGENT: ACTION: Daily Caller; Bonita Peak Mining District HRS; DEADLINE 6 EST
Importance: High

Johanna-Urgent questions from an HQ area reporter with urgent deadline. Here's what we've got so far from Rob. Deb working on #2 and 3. Can you look at #1 to see if there's anything you would add?

From: Ethan Barton [<mailto:ethan@dailycallernewsfoundation.org>]
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2016 2:25 PM
To: Press <Press@epa.gov>; Lemon, Mollie <Lemon.Mollie@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Bonita Peak Mining District HRS

Here's the link to the HRS document, for your convenience:

<https://semspub.epa.gov/work/08/1769520.pdf>

On Thu, Apr 14, 2016 at 2:24 PM, Ethan Barton <ethan@dailycallernewsfoundation.org> wrote:

Hello,

I have some questions regarding the Bonita Peak Mining District HRS Documentation Record.

I would like to know why the drinking water threat was not scored. The document shows that ground water migration pathway was not scored because of the low population density and because there are "no municipal wells located within the four mile radius that serve as potable supplies."

However, Silverton, Colorado, Farmington, New Mexico, and the Navajo Nation have all had their water supplies affected by the Gold King Mine release, which suggests water supplies are, in fact, impacted by the Bonita Peak Mining District.

Additionally, both the human food chain and the environmental threats scored the max values. How is it that the EPA claims the river is safe for humans and that drinking water is not affected, yet the human food chain is heavily threatened?

Given the threat to the human food chain, have residents who live in the area who consume wildlife, such as fishers in Silverton, Colorado, been warned of this threat and been given guidance?

In sum:

1. Why was drinking water not assessed in the HRS, given the details provided?- Site assessment group

Deliberative Process/Ex. 5

2. How can the human food chain be so heavily threatened, but the drinking water, as well as the Animas River, is safe for humans?

Deliberative Process/Ex. 5

3. Has the EPA warned local residents and provided guidance on the threat to the human food chain?

My deadline is end of business today.

Thanks,

Ethan

Ethan Barton
Investigative Reporter
Daily Caller News Foundation
410-829-1738
[@ethanrbarton](https://twitter.com/ethanrbarton)

--

Ethan Barton
Investigative Reporter
Daily Caller News Foundation
410-829-1738
[@ethanrbarton](https://twitter.com/ethanrbarton)